

**To:** Personal Email/Ex. 6  
**Cc:** R8EISC[R8EISC@epa.gov]  
**From:** Brogden, Rose  
**Sent:** Fri 8/14/2015 6:06:59 PM  
**Subject:** Re: ...discharge flow graphs ...substantially different...contact person for the blowout evaluation process ...Form submission from: Emergency Response to Gold King Mine Release Contact Us About Emergency Response to the Gold King Mine Release form

Hello,

The US EPA has prepared the following information resource:

EPA is committed to working closely with response agencies and state and local officials to ensure the safety of citizens, respond to concerns and to evaluate impact to water contaminated by the Gold King Mine Release. EPA is sharing information as quickly as possible with the public as experts work to evaluate any effects the spill may have on drinking water, public health, agriculture, fish and wildlife. Please see our website for the latest information: <http://www2.epa.gov/goldkingmine>  
Regular updates will be posted as they are available.  
EPA Hotline: 844-607-9700 (toll-free)

---

From: drupal\_admin@epa.gov <drupal\_admin@epa.gov> on behalf of EPA <drupal\_admin@epa.gov>  
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 5:15 PM  
To: R8EISC  
Subject: Form submission from: Emergency Response to Gold King Mine Release Contact Us About Emergency Response to the Gold King Mine Release form

Submitted on 08/14/2015 1:15PM  
Submitted values are:

Name: Citizen Name & E-mail/Ex. 6  
Email /

Comments:

The GKM discharge flow graphs in SITREP 4 (never dropping lower than 600 gpm) is substantially different than the one shown in SITREP 3 (dropping to 210 gpm). Which graph is valid?  
Is there a contact person for the blowout evaluation process that the EPA Administrator said would be transparent?